



## **Internal Financial Control Enhancement Through Integration of Blockchain and Machine Learning**

**GholamReza Zandi** 

Faculty of Business, Universiti of Malaya Wales, Malaysia. E-mail: zandi@umwales.edu.my

**Salzainna Zainul Abidin\*** 

\*Corresponding author, Faculty of Business, UNITAR International University, Kelana Jaya, Petaling Jaya, Selangor. E-mail: salzainna.abidin@unitar.my

**Lily Julienti Abu Bakar** 

School of Business Management Universiti Utara Malaysia. E-mail: julienti@uum.edu.my

**Raja Rehan** 

Institute of Business Management (IoBM), Karachi, Pakistan. E-mail: raja.rehan@iobm.edu.pk

---

Journal of Information Technology Management, 2025, Vol. 17, Issue 4, pp. 88-98

Published by the University of Tehran, College of Management

doi: <https://doi.org/10.22059/jitm.2025.105484>

Article Type: Research Paper

© Authors

Received: June 11, 2025

Received in revised form: August 06, 2025

Accepted: September 26, 2025

Published online: November 01, 2025



### **Abstract**

Internal Financial Control (IFC) is a critical component of corporate governance, ensuring the accuracy, reliability, and compliance of financial reporting. Traditional IFC systems rely on manual audits, centralized databases, and rule-based checks, which are often inefficient, prone to human error, and vulnerable to fraud. The integration of Blockchain Technology and Machine Learning (ML) has introduced transformative improvements in Internal Financial Control (IFC) systems. This paper explores how Blockchain and machine learning (ML) technologies can strengthen internal financial controls (IFC). By addressing limitations in traditional systems, these technologies introduce transparency, automation, and predictive capability, fostering enhanced compliance and reduced risk. The integration of these technologies offers a paradigm shift for governance, risk management, and auditing practices, enhances fraud detection and regulatory compliance, while addressing challenges such as scalability and data privacy. Through a synthesis of academic literature and industry case

studies, Blockchain ensures immutable transaction records, while ML enables predictive anomaly detection. Blockchain and ML are transforming internal financial control by enhancing security, automation, and predictive capabilities. There are still challenges in overcoming scalability, interpretability, Hybrid Blockchain-ML frameworks, and regulatory challenges for widespread adoption.

**Keywords:** Blockchain, Machine Learning, Internal Controls, Fraud Detection, Smart Contracts

## Introduction

Financial fraud costs businesses over \$4.5 trillion annually (ACFE, 2022), highlighting the need for robust internal controls. Traditional methods rely on manual audits and reactive checks, which are inefficient and prone to manipulation (COSO, 2013). Blockchain and ML offer Real-time transparency (via distributed ledgers); automated fraud detection (using ML algorithms), and self-executing compliance (through smart contracts).

Internal Financial Control (IFC) systems are fundamental to corporate governance, ensuring financial statement accuracy, regulatory compliance, and fraud prevention. Traditional IFC mechanisms rely heavily on manual audits, periodic reconciliations, and rule-based checks, which are increasingly inadequate in today's fast-paced, data-intensive business environment. These legacy systems suffer from several limitations:

- 1- Human Error: Manual processes are prone to mistakes in data entry and reconciliation.
- 2- Fraud Vulnerabilities: Centralized systems can be manipulated by insiders.
- 3- Lag in Detection: Most frauds are detected months after occurrence (ACFE Report, 2022).
- 4- High Compliance Costs: SOX compliance costs average \$1.5M annually for mid-sized firms (Protiviti, 2023).

The Emerging technologies, particularly Blockchain and Machine Learning (ML), are transforming IFC by real-time monitoring instead of periodic audits; automated anomaly detection, reducing human oversight; immutable audit trails, enhancing transparency; predictive risk analytics, enabling proactive controls.

Given this context, the study aims to explore the integration of Blockchain and ML into IFC frameworks to enhance financial integrity and operational efficiency.

## Research Questions

1. How can Blockchain technology improve transparency and reduce fraud in internal financial control systems?
2. What role does Machine Learning play in automating anomaly detection and predictive risk management within IFC frameworks?
3. What are the comparative advantages of technology-driven IFC systems over traditional methods in terms of cost, accuracy, and timeliness?
4. What challenges and limitations exist in implementing Blockchain and ML in real-world financial control environments?

## Literature Review

Reviewing literature provides a detailed analysis of academic research and industry applications at the intersection of Blockchain, ML, and financial control. With the rise of digital transformation, emerging technologies such as Blockchain and Machine Learning (ML) are revolutionizing financial control mechanisms by introducing automation, transparency, and predictive capabilities.

### Blockchain Fundamentals and Financial Applications

Blockchain, introduced by Nakamoto (2008) as the underlying technology for Bitcoin, is a decentralized, distributed ledger that ensures data immutability and transparency. In financial control, Blockchain eliminates single points of failure and provides an auditable transaction trail.

The key contributions of Blockchain include:

- 1- Decentralization & Immutability: Unlike traditional databases, Blockchain records cannot be altered retroactively, reducing fraud risks (Dai & Vasarhelyi, 2017).
- 2- Smart Contracts: Self-executing contracts automate financial controls (e.g., payment approvals, compliance checks) without intermediaries (Zheng et al., 2020).
- 3- Real-Time Auditing: Blockchain enables continuous auditing by providing instant access to verified transactions (Cao et al., 2022).

### Blockchain Technology in Financial Control

It is a core mechanism enabling financial governance. Blockchain's value proposition for IFC stems from three architectural features:

### A. Decentralized Consensus

- Eliminates single points of failure through distributed ledger technology (DLT)
- Nodes validate transactions via Proof-of-Work (PoW) or Proof-of-Stake (PoS)
- Example: Ethereum-based audit systems (Dai et al., 2019)

### B. Cryptographic Immutability

- SHA-256 hashing creates tamper-evident records
- Timestamped blocks prevent backdating fraud
- Applied in: Invoice tracking (IBM, 2021), asset custody (Northern Trust, 2020)

### C. Smart Contract Automation

- Self-executing code enforces control policies
- Real-world use cases:
  - Automated three-way matching (Deloitte, 2022)
  - Dynamic expense approval workflows (SAP, 2023)

## Empirical Evidence of Blockchain Impact

Study	Methodology	Key Findings
PwC (2021)	Survey of 600 CFOs	42% reduced audit costs via blockchain
MIT (2022)	Case study (Maersk TradeLens)	30% faster reconciliation
EY (2023)	Pilot with EU banks	80% drop in false transaction alerts

Despite promising results, there were persistent implementation challenges of:

### Technical issues

- Throughput limitations: Bitcoin (7 TPS) vs. Visa (24,000 TPS)
- Storage scalability: Full nodes require 400GB+ for the Bitcoin blockchain

### Organizational issues

- Legacy system integration costs average \$2.3M (Gartner, 2023)
- Talent shortage: <15% of audit firms have blockchain expertise (IIA, 2023)

## Regulatory issues

- SEC scrutiny of crypto transactions (2023 Coinbase case)
- GDPR "right to be forgotten" conflicts with immutability

## Blockchain in Fraud Prevention and Compliance

Kshetri (2021) found that blockchain reduces financial fraud by making transactions transparent and traceable. Besides, Blockchain simplifies compliance with frameworks like SOX and GDPR by maintaining tamper-proof records (Wang et al., 2019).

Application	Example	Impact
Fraud Prevention	JPMorgan's COIN platform	20% reduction in errors (2020)
Audit Automation	Deloitte's Blockchain Auditing	Real-time verification (2021)
Supply Chain Finance	Walmart's Food Trust System	90% faster dispute resolution

## Challenges of Blockchain in IFC

- 1- Scalability issue, Public blockchains face latency issues in high-volume transactions (Wang et al., 2019).
- 2- Integration with Legacy Systems, many enterprises struggle to merge blockchain with existing ERP systems (Zhang & Liu, 2022).
- 3- Regulatory Uncertainty, Governments are still defining blockchain's legal standing in financial reporting (Gupta & Sharma, 2023).

Challenge	Blockchain	Machine Learning
Scalability	Low TPS (e.g., Ethereum)	High computational costs
Privacy	GDPR vs. Immutability	Bias in training data
Cost	High energy consumption	Model interpretability issues

## Machine Learning (ML) for Internal Financial Control (IFC)

Machine Learning (ML) in Fraud Detection and Anomaly Monitoring plays a critical role. ML algorithms analyze vast datasets to detect irregularities in financial transactions (Rouhani & Mozaffari, 2022). Key approaches include Supervised Learning by Classifying transactions as fraudulent or legitimate using historical data (Ngai et al., 2011). ML by Unsupervised Learning detects unknown fraud patterns via clustering and outlier detection (Chen et al., 2020).

## Predictive Risk Assessment and Automated Auditing

Deep Learning for Financial Forecasting enables Neural networks to predict financial misstatements before they occur (Huang et al., 2022). Applying the NLP for invoice processing, ML automates invoice verification, reducing manual errors (Yoon et al., 2021).

Challenges of ML in IFC are Data Quality, as ML models require clean, labelled datasets (Brown & John, 2023). The second challenge is Explainability, as Black-box ML models may lack transparency for audit compliance (Li et al., 2023).

Synergistic Integration of Blockchain and ML will enhance Fraud Detection Systems. Blockchain ensures data integrity, while ML analyzes transaction patterns for fraud (Zhang & Liu, 2022). ML models can trigger smart contract actions based on predictive risk scores with AI-Driven Triggers (Gupta & Sharma, 2023).

## Machine Learning (ML) Advancements in Financial Control

### Taxonomy of ML Applications

Application Area	Algorithms Used	Performance Metrics
Performance Metrics	Isolation Forest, Auto encoders	92% precision (JPMorgan, 2023)
Predictive Risk Scoring	XGBoost, LightGBM	AUC-ROC 0.94 (FICO, 2022)
Document Processing	BERT, LayoutLM	88% accuracy (UiPath, 2023)

### Cutting-Edge Techniques

#### A. Deep Learning for Forensic Accounting

Transformer models analyze 10-K filings for red flags, and KPMG's Ignite detects earnings management patterns.

#### B. Reinforcement Learning for Dynamic Controls

AI agents optimize approval thresholds in real-time. American Express uses reinforcement learning to adjust fraud filters.

#### C. Federated Learning for Privacy

Enables collaborative model training without data sharing, reducing false positives (Bank of America Consortium, 2023).

## Methodology

### Research Design

This study adopts a qualitative exploratory research design complemented by case study analysis. The aim is to understand how Blockchain and ML technologies can enhance IFC systems by addressing traditional limitations and introducing new capabilities.

### Data Collection Methods

- Literature Review: A comprehensive synthesis of academic journals, white papers, and industry reports on IFC, Blockchain, and ML.
- Case Studies: In-depth analysis of organizations that have implemented Blockchain and ML in their IFC systems (e.g., financial institutions, multinational corporations).
- Document Analysis: Review of internal audit reports, financial statements, and compliance documentation from selected case studies.

### Data Analysis Techniques

- Comparative Case Analysis: To evaluate the effectiveness of Blockchain and ML across different organizational contexts.

### Operational Challenges in ML Deployment

In the Data-Related class imbalance, fraud cases often <0.1% of transactions. In concept drift, fraud patterns evolve monthly.

In the Model-Related, black box problem, LIME/SHAP explanations add 40% compute overhead. For adversarial attacks, fraudsters exploit model blind spots.

### Synergistic Integration: Blockchain + ML

Emerging hybrid architectures combine strengths

Layer	Blockchain Role	ML Role
Data Layer	Immutable transaction storage	Feature engineering
Processing Layer	Smart contract execution	Model inference
Governance Layer	Consensus-based validation	Explainability reporting

### Proven Business Cases

Company	Solution	Results
HSBC	Blockchain settlement + ML fraud screening	60% faster, 35% cost reduction
Walmart	Food supply chain tracking + ML expiry prediction	\$100M waste reduction
De Beers	Diamond provenance + ML price forecasting	15% premium achieved

### Implementation Roadmap

Phase	Activities	Timeline
Assessment	Process mapping, pain point identification	2-4 months
Pilot	Limited-scope PoC (e.g., AP automation)	3-6 months
Scale	Enterprise rollout with change management	12-18 months

### Challenges for Integration of Blockchain + ML

#### Technical Innovations:

Quantum-Resistant Ledgers: Post-quantum cryptography standards.

Neuromorphic Chips: Energy-efficient ML at edge nodes.

Homomorphic Encryption: Privacy-preserving analytics.

#### Regulatory Developments:

AI Audit Standards: PCAOB working group formation.

Blockchain Accounting Rules: FASB crypto asset guidance.

#### Human-Machine Collaboration:

Augmented Intelligence: AI-assisted audit work papers.

Continuous Education: Blockchain/ML certification for CPAs

### Conclusion

This study has examined the transformative role of Blockchain and Machine Learning (ML) in enhancing Internal Financial Control (IFC), emphasizing how these technologies collectively address the inefficiencies, vulnerabilities, and limitations of traditional control mechanisms. Grounded in the literature (COSO, 2013; Dai & Vasarhelyi, 2017; Ngai et al., 2011), the findings reaffirm that manual, rule-based approaches, though historically vital, are increasingly inadequate in a digitalized business environment marked by complexity, high data intensity, and rapid fraud evolution. Blockchain contributes to IFC by ensuring decentralized consensus, cryptographic immutability, and smart contract automation, thereby reducing reliance on centralized systems and mitigating risks of fraud and data manipulation (Zheng et al., 2020; Kshetri, 2021). Concurrently, ML strengthens predictive risk assessment

through supervised, unsupervised, and deep learning methods, enabling organizations to move from reactive detection toward proactive anomaly prevention (Chen et al., 2020; Huang et al., 2022).

The synthesis of academic studies and industry cases demonstrates that the convergence of Blockchain and ML yields significant performance gains. For example, PwC (2021), MIT (2022), and EY (2023) documented measurable reductions in audit costs, reconciliation times, and false positives when Blockchain was implemented. Likewise, ML applications such as anomaly detection and document automation have been shown to improve fraud detection precision, enhance compliance accuracy, and reduce operational inefficiencies (Ngai et al., 2011; Yoon et al., 2021). Case evidence from HSBC, Walmart, and JPMorgan further illustrates that hybrid Blockchain-ML systems can simultaneously ensure data integrity and apply adaptive intelligence to large transaction datasets, producing results such as faster settlements, cost savings, and enhanced transparency. These findings suggest that Blockchain and ML are not peripheral tools but central enablers of next-generation internal financial control systems.

Nevertheless, the challenges identified remain substantial. Technical constraints such as limited transaction throughput in public blockchains (Narayanan et al., 2016), high computational costs of ML (Goodfellow et al., 2016), and issues of model interpretability (Li et al., 2023) highlight the need for further innovation. Organizational challenges, particularly integration with legacy enterprise systems, high transition costs, and shortages of cross-disciplinary expertise (Gartner, 2023; IIA, 2023), demonstrate that successful adoption requires phased implementation and comprehensive change management strategies. Regulatory and ethical issues, such as conflicts between GDPR requirements and Blockchain immutability, as well as the lack of unified AI auditing standards (PCAOB, 2022), further complicate adoption pathways. These barriers underscore the importance of collaboration between regulators, academia, and industry in establishing clear frameworks, interoperability standards, and professional certification pathways to support sustainable deployment.

Future research should prioritize the development of scalable hybrid Blockchain-ML frameworks, incorporating emerging technologies such as federated learning, post-quantum cryptography, and neuromorphic chips. In parallel, the advancement of explainable AI techniques (e.g., LIME, SHAP) within Blockchain-ML ecosystems will be essential to ensuring transparency and auditability in financial reporting contexts (ZareRavasan et. al., 2023). Furthermore, sector-specific empirical investigations are needed to explore adoption models across industries with varying data intensities, regulatory environments, and governance requirements. Such research will provide granular insights into how Blockchain and ML can be tailored to different organizational and institutional contexts.

In conclusion, this paper affirms that Blockchain and Machine Learning jointly offer a paradigm shift for internal financial control by embedding transparency, automation, and predictive intelligence into financial governance systems. By addressing the shortcomings of traditional IFC approaches, these technologies strengthen fraud prevention, enhance audit quality, and improve regulatory compliance, thereby reinforcing trust in financial reporting. While challenges remain, the integration of Blockchain and ML establishes a resilient foundation for the future of internal control systems and corporate governance. For both scholars and practitioners, the implications are profound: adopting and refining these technologies not only ensures operational efficiency but also contributes to the broader objective of sustainable, accountable, and technologically adaptive financial governance in the digital economy.

## Conflict of interest

The authors declare that there is no conflict of interest regarding the publication of this article.

## Funding

The author(s) received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

## References

ACFE. (2022). Report to the nations: Occupational fraud.

Bishop, C. M. (2006). Pattern recognition and machine learning. Springer.

Buterin, V. (2014). A next-generation smart contract and decentralized application platform. *Ethereum White Paper*.

Cao, S., Dai, J., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2022). Continuous auditing using blockchain and AI: Opportunities and challenges. *Journal of Emerging Technologies in Accounting*, 19(1), 47–66.

Chen, X., Liu, X., & Wang, Z. (2020). A deep learning approach for financial statement fraud detection. *Journal of Forensic Accounting Research*, 5(1), 1-22.

COSO. (2013). Internal control, integrated framework.

Dai, J., & Vasarhelyi, M. A. (2017). Toward blockchain-based accounting and assurance. *Journal of Information Systems*, 31(3), 5-21.

Gartner. (2023). Hype cycle for blockchain in financial services.

Ethereum Foundation. (2023). Smart contract security best practices.

EY. (2023). Blockchain adoption in EU financial institutions. *Ernst & Young Report*.

FASB. (2023). Accounting for crypto assets. *Accounting Standards Update No. 2023-05*.

Gartner. (2023). *Hype cycle for blockchain in financial services*. Gartner Research.

Huang, J., Zhou, M., & Zhang, T. (2022). Deep learning for financial misstatement prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 193, 116407.

IBM. (2021). Walmart food trust blockchain case study.

IBM. (2020). Blockchain for fraud prevention. *IBM Institute for Business Value*.

IIA. (2023). Blockchain adoption and skills gap in auditing: A global survey. *Institute of Internal Auditors*.

JPMorgan Chase. (2023). COIN platform: Machine learning in loan compliance.

Kshetri, N. (2021). Blockchain and sustainable economic growth. *IT Professional*, 23(3), 35–39.

Li, X., Jiang, P., Chen, T., Luo, X., & Wen, Q. (2023). A hybrid blockchain-ML system for financial fraud detection. *IEEE International Conference on Blockchain*, 1–8.

MIT Digital Currency Initiative. (2022). Blockchain scalability solutions.

Narayanan, A., et al. (2016). *Bitcoin and cryptocurrency technologies*. Princeton University Press.

Nakamoto, S. (2008). Bitcoin: A peer-to-peer electronic cash system. *Bitcoin White Paper*.

Ngai, E. W., Hu, Y., Wong, Y. H., Chen, Y., & Sun, X. (2011). The application of data mining techniques in financial fraud detection: A classification framework and an academic review. *Decision Support Systems*, 50(3), 559–569.

PCAOB. (2022). Emerging technology audit considerations. *Staff Guidance Report*.

PwC. (2021). Blockchain in finance: A \$1.7 trillion opportunity. (Survey data on adoption trends).

Rouhani, S., & Mozaffari, F. (2022). Sentiment analysis researches story narrated by topic modelling approach. *Social Sciences & Humanities Open*, 6(1).

Yoon, S., Kim, H., & Lee, J. (2021). Natural language processing for automated financial document processing. *Journal of Accounting Information Systems*, 32, 28–43.

ZareRavasan, A., Mansouri, T., Krčál, M., & Rouhani, S. (2023). Blockchain: Applications, challenges, and solutions (p. 184). *MDPI—Multidisciplinary Digital Publishing Institute*.

Zheng, Z., Xie, S., Dai, H., Chen, X., & Wang, H. (2020). Blockchain challenges and opportunities: A survey. *International Journal of Web and Grid Services*, 16(4), 352–375.

Zhang, Y., & Liu, J. (2022). Real-time auditing with blockchain and machine learning. *ACM Conference on Accounting Information Systems*, 45–53.

---

#### Bibliographic information of this paper for citing:

Zandi, GholamReza; Zainul Abidin, Salzainna; Abu Bakar, Lily Julienti & Rehan, Raja (2025). Internal Financial Control Enhancement Through Integration of Blockchain and Machine Learning. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 17 (4), 88-98.

<https://doi.org/10.22059/jitm.2025.105484>

---